## The Synoptic "Sources"

Early Christian writers commented on why there were three gospels so similar.

Scholars have debated this for generations.

WHY is it something we even talk about?

Like in a court case when you have three eyewitnesses and you are trying to figure EXACTLY What happened?.... You take all three accounts and you try to get the best presentation that makes sense.

Here are some interesting numbers:

Verses: Matthew – 1,071 Mark – 661 Luke – 1,151 Verses virtually identical to Mark: in Matthew and Luke: Matthew - 500+ [~ 50%] Luke - 380 [~ 33%]

So the theory is that Mark was written first, then Matthew and Luke had Mark's account in front of them and used Mark as they formed their own account. [Luke actually seems to say this]: Many have attempted to draw up an account of the things that have been fulfilled among us, 2 just as they were handed down to us by those who from the first were eyewitnesses and servants of the word.

*3 With this in mind, since I myself have carefully investigated* 

*everything* from the beginning, I too decided to write an orderly account for you, most excellent Theophilus, 4 so that you may know the certainty of the things you have been taught. 50% of Matthew = Mark.... 33% of Luke = Mark

75% of Mark is found in both.

That is easily explained.

Both Matthew and Luke had a copy of Mark in front of them.

[11 min]

The unique thing is that we find

a significant amount of matching material in both Matthew and Luke that is NOT in Mark.

24% of Matthew

23% of Luke

THIS is where scholars struggle. Matthew and Luke apparently had another source that is NOT in Mark.

These are mostly sayings of Jesus and/or teachings.

For example:

- the Sermon on the Mount
- the Lord's Prayer [Our Father]
- the genealogy of Jesus (different)

Where things get a bit more questionable is WHY there are obvious differences in similar stories.

## To illustrate, we are going to use THE most important story... the empty tomb.

Matthew 28	Mark 16	Luke 24
After the Sabbath, at dawn on the	When the Sabbath was over, Mary	On the first day of the week, very
first day of the week, Mary	Magdalene, Mary the mother of	early in the morning, the women
Magdalene and the other Mary went	James, and Salome bought spices	(see v10 below)
to look at the tomb.	so that they might go to anoint	took the spices they had prepared
	Jesus' body.	and went to the tomb.
2 There was a violent earthquake,	2 Very early on the first day of the	2 They found the stone rolled away
for an angel of the Lord came down	week, just after sunrise, they were	from the tomb, 3 but when they
from heaven and, going to the tomb,	on their way to the tomb 3 and they	entered, they did not find the body of
rolled back the stone and sat on it. 3	asked each other, "Who will roll the	the Lord Jesus.
His appearance was like lightning,	stone away from the entrance of	
and his clothes were white as snow.	the tomb?"	4 While they were wondering about
4 The guards were so afraid of him		this, suddenly two men in clothes
that they shook and became like	4 But when they looked up, they	that gleamed like lightning stood
dead men.	saw that the stone, which was very	beside them.
	large, had been rolled away. 5 As	5 In their fright the women bowed
	they entered the tomb, they saw a	down with their faces to the ground,
	young man dressed in a white robe	but the men said to them, "Why do
	sitting on the right side, and they	you look for the living among the
	were alarmed.	dead?

 The names of the women are different [Mary Magdalene is in every account, however].

2. One angel or two angels?

5 <b>The angel said</b> to the women, "Do not be afraid, for I know that you are looking for Jesus, who was crucified. 6 He is not here; <b>he has risen</b> , just as he said. Come and see the place where he lay. 7 Then go quickly and tell his disciples: ' <b>He has risen from the</b> <b>dead</b> and is going ahead of you into Galilee. There you will see him.'		6 He is not here; <b>he has risen!</b> Remember how he told you, while he was still with you in <u>Galilee</u> : 7 'The Son of Man must be delivered over to the hands of sinners, be crucified and on the third day be raised again.'
---	--	---

# 3. All three versions indicate that the women were afraid.

Now I have told you."		8 Then they remembered his words.
-		
8 So the women hurried away from	8 Trembling and bewildered, the	9 When they came back from the
the tomb, afraid yet filled with joy,	women went out and fled from the	tomb, they told all these things to the
and ran to tell his disciples.	tomb. They said nothing to anyone,	Eleven and to all the others.
	because they were afraid.	10 It was Mary Magdalene, Joanna,
9 Suddenly Jesus met them.	,	Mary the mother of James, and the
"Greetings," he said. They came to	[The earliest manuscripts and	others with them who told this to the
him, clasped his feet and worshiped	some other ancient witnesses do	apostles. 11 But they did not believe
him. 10 Then Jesus said to them,	not have verses 9-20.]	the women, because their words
"Do not be afraid. Go and tell my		seemed to them like nonsense.
brothers to go to Galilee; there they		
will see me "		

 Matthew/Luke has the women telling the men – Mark has them saying nothing because of fear. Should these inconsistencies bother us? Does it prove that the accounts are wrong?

Here are the things that ALL accounts have in common. 1. There was some kind of supernatural presence (angel) 2. The women were the first on the scene...the first to hear the news "He is Risen"....the first to believe. 3. All three have the women instructed to tell the disciples to go to Galilee.

4. There is an empty tomb in each account – the body of Jesus is NOT there.[25 min]

Now, I want to cover an interesting fact about Luke's gospel that impacts what we just looked at.

Matthew 28	Mark 16	Luke 24
Mary Magdalene	Mary Magdalene,	On the first day of the week, very
and the other Mary	Mary the mother of James,	early in the morning, the women
went to look at the tomb.	and Salome	(see v10 below)
	bought spices so that they might	
5 The angel said to the women,	go to anoint Jesus' body.	[10 It was
o me angeroala to the nomen,	go to unont occus body.	Mary Magdalene,
8 So the women hurried away	8 Trembling and bewildered, the	Joanna.
· · · ·	<b>–</b>	
from the tomb,	women went out and fled from	Mary the mother of James
	the tomb.	
1		1

### Luke 8

1 After this, Jesus traveled about from one town and village to another, proclaiming the good news of the kingdom of God. The Twelve were with him, 2 and also **some women** who had been cured of evil spirits and diseases: Mary (called Magdalene)...; 3 Joanna the wife of Chuza, the manager of Herod's household; Susanna; and many others.

## So we have Joanna appearing in both the beginning of the gospel... AND at the empty tomb.

#### Luke 24

13 Now that same day two of them were going to a village called Emmaus...

14 They were talking with each other about everything that had happened.

18 One of them, named <u>Cleopas</u>, asked him, "Are you the only one visiting <u>Jerusalem</u> who does not know the things that have happened there in these days?"

22 In addition, some of our women amazed us. They went to the tomb early this morning 23 but didn't find his body. They came and told us that they had seen a vision of angels, who said he was alive.

#### John 19

25 Near the cross of Jesus stood his mother [Mary], his mother's sister, Mary the wife of <u>Clopas</u>, and Mary Magdalene. Now we have Mary, wife of Clopas appearing in a story that is unique to Luke...

This indicates that Clopas [and possibly Mary, his wife, are giving Luke eyewitness testimony.

We learn from Hegessipus, an early second century writer, that Clopas was the brother of Joseph, the father of Jesus.

Luke probably got it mixed up by calling her the sister of Mary, the mother of Jesus.

It was probably her sister-in-law.

It appears that Luke is using eyewitness accounts from women. Joanna, is likely a Gentile.

Luke's gospel...and his account of Paul in *Acts* is more "women friendly" than the other gospels.

One reason this is important is that we have solid evidence that the book of *Acts* was edited towards the end of the second century to be LESS friendly to women. THAT is part of the MSS evidence that we might get to in another two weeks.

# We WILL wrap up this topic ON WEDNESDAY.

**QUESTIONS?**